

In pre-party years 'independents' would be 'pushed' by community leaders and biased toward the establishment but this establishment "then" was at least national. Today 'independents' are usually self-chosen and push as reformers in support of personal fixations. A parliament of these would be a battleground rather than a democratic service.

Also, in those days, people used their natural intelligence. Although often misled by lies we were not brainwashed by indoctrination to a government-desired mindset. Children were allowed discipline to truth and, as adults, most readily accepted communal responsibilities.

Government; by humanist/socialist education and money controlled mass media, has set deadly social intellectual bias over the recent century.

A political standover brought the government of the USA to heel in 1907. By 1910 World Government saw Australia was important enough to need be taken under control. This was done by the "International Bankers" in the 1920s. For details see "Globalism Brainwash". **

No chapter or book tells the full story! In order to exercise the control to negate democracy, the Internationalist Sect had first to introduce "Party System" governments. In the USA this was achieved soon after independence: and in Australia about 1915. For more secure control a scientific cultural indoctrination of 'Humanism' followed. *** The secret 'Internationalist Sect' was then able to openly call itself "Globalism".

All we need know about Globalism and the nature of our world is on site and there are specific chapters and quotes related to matters referred to above. However the whole site (less than a common textbook) helps us gain a united understanding of the present world situation – the Globalist goal – its means of achievement – and how we can defeat this monstrosity by having the courage to accept our political responsibilities.

"Party system" government cannot be democratic; it needs vested interest control; public ignorance is essential to its local introduction. Like a football match it is full of passion and mindless loyalty. Parties play to win but obey rules set by

umpires who, by careful selection, seduction, rod of finance and deceit, ensure competing parties obey.

As in the USA, Australian founding fathers assumed the everyday common sense of the majority. The Australian constitution even stated the democratic essential that people choose their own candidates – an obligation to 'not just vote for any egotist who presents or for quislings chosen to serve unknown powers. Our oppressors' gain public authority and credibility by mind manipulation. We will never become free of despots and quislings by begging for their mercy.

By indoctrination we became mind slaves, blind to lies and to human obligations. We accepted the lie that "elections" and 'constitutional law' give us power but, this is only true when people know and accept their responsibilities.

Without behind-scenes control, 'party systems' are as self-destructively divisive as self-chosen independents. Also, as candidates are chosen before being elected, the first loyalty of every M.P must be to those who give the candidate the backing and authority to be a candidate.

A Parliament of people chosen representatives will represent the people without division and the entire parliament will work for the common cause.

So it is not difficult, if one tries honestly, to see that no government today obeys a democratic constitution. All constitutions are interpreted in favor of corrupt elements. Law no longer defends justice, it helps corrupting elements achieve their aims. The Globalist 'party system' suspends or revises democratic constitutions or, by re-interpretation, make their words meaningless to natural moral thought processes.

To recover National Constitutions only needs public understanding and perhaps a preamble stating a requirement that principles of compassion, justice, truthfulness and historic rights be integral with all laws, rules or regulations. All laws of corrupt government must be reviewed.

It is unrealistic to think that any form of constitution will be maintained unless the national > population is itself aware of its responsibilities and also aware of the danger of illegitimate control

by world finance. Those who work for reform by change of law or change of constitution, rather than by community understanding of personal responsibilities, aid the deceit.

Today, with people indoctrinated to a contempt for natural reason, the original flexibility and requirement for public responsibility is thought unworkable. In the days when democracy became the accepted human ideal people used their natural intelligence and the subversion of this intelligence was not envisaged. So we later failed to recognize a creeping corruption and that education began to include "indoctrination".

Do we fear to govern ourselves?

Many of us, despite the massive evidence, seem to find it too frightening to face the truth of what is really going on in our world. But why? Is it only too frightening because we do not have the courage to leave the womb of human culture and become responsible for our own decisions?

By lies and handouts mind bars are created! Once enslaved we feel we must have someone to organize our work to pay for food and clothing. We lose normal self-sufficiency. Like "Stockholm Syndrome" captives we begin to feel we owe our lives to our captors. ****

The fear that comes from enslavement to political beliefs comes from a perpetual and wide-ranging indoctrination that leads us to believe we must rely on government management of our lives. We need 'our' 'government gods' to take care of us. We find our own decisions are unreliable but do not see that our poor decision-making is because of imposed mind-twisting beliefs.

In politics we are not prepared to sacrifice our egocentricity to the common good: we understand neither government nor ourselves. We fear to govern ourselves because of confused ideas and conflicting loyalties. Confused information instills fixations about reality; morals and justice evade us; we fear that if we support community self-government we will be swamped by >>>

dissent – just as are parties without any higher control or self-serving independents.

So we pretend what we have is not so bad! We fear the unknown but close our eyes to the future – in our temporary comfort of dictatorial discipline we accept criminal government. We ignore the very evident display by government members that prove them neither bright nor honest and that our ever-increasing servility will lead us to destruction.

Note: Community-chosen representatives are not independent! Their positions depend on those who choose them; they are obligated to the community that choose them just as those chosen by vested interest parties are to vested interests! Those who first choose the representative give him his authority. What would you do with the money now wasted on elections propaganda?

Criminal government does not want us healthy, wealthy or wise; it wants our world.

Self-government may be traumatic to start but, if we make the effort to understand enough TO START, we gain our chance to experience the true beauty of achievement and the satisfactions life offers. Fears fade as understanding grows.

Note: For those with a moral compulsion to face the truth of the above:

* See Ed. Feb. 1st. '03;
“Do we have Constitutional Government?”

** See Chapter 4 of Globalism Brainwash:
“Who Really Governs”

*** See “Why Johnny can’t think”; and leaflets in this series.

**** See “The Stockholm Syndrome”.

www.themindweb.com

www.TheMindWeb.com

Discussion leaflet **1**

Police State Democracies

(abridged)

“The Secret Patriot Act II Destroys What Is Left of American Liberty.

A Brief Analysis of the Domestic Security Enhancement Act 2003, Also Known as Patriot Act II. By Alex Jones <http://www.infowars.com/>

Congressman Ron Paul (R-Tex) told the Washington Times that no member of Congress was allowed to read the first Patriot Act that was passed by the House on October 27, 2001. The first Patriot Act was universally decried by civil libertarians and Constitutional scholars from across the political spectrum. William Safire, while writing for the New York Times, described the first Patriot Act's powers by saying that President Bush was seizing dictatorial control.” End quote.

MindWeb Comment

If you find it hard to believe the sordid reality of the extreme ‘Patriot Act’ legislation the above URL will show you, legalized, oppression equal to the most extreme of dictators – the oppression we claim to fear and fight is introduced under excuse of defending our ‘non existing’ democracy. It provides a foundation for cultural genocide.

This is what is strange: “WHY is it that we are not reminded, early in the above article, that the US of A Constitution has been (for years) suspended?” It was suspended by President Roosevelt in 1933 and is renewed yearly by every President since. *

So long as the people accept this then they obviously have no complaint about living under dictatorial law or of having their culture destroyed by the international cult called Globalism.

But this is not unique to the USA! In fact it could not happen in one nation alone because it would then be seen to happen. It has to be a creeping

world-wide seduction, and to happen world-wide has to be organized world-wide.

That government can pass any law it can get away with is OK when complaint about unjustified restrictions being imposed ignores that government has assumed full dictatorial power.

In true democracy the suspension of a National Constitution has to be SEEN BY THE PEOPLE! It is really serious! If we have any concern for liberty, culture or our human future, then this suspension must be justified, limited, and community approved.

It may be claimed that the situation of its first suspension was too urgent to leave time for public discussion and approval, but this cannot apply to an indefinite suspension that includes times of peace. In fact, if the general citizenry of a republic or democracy is not well aware of the suspension and the fact that they live under disguised dictatorship, then this involves treason.

When those who complain about political deceit and iniquity do not highlight suspension of a National Constitution they lose credibility! Are our champions tongue-in-cheek in pretending outrage?

National Constitutions and Democracy

It is distressing that action to save human freedoms is being confused by so many poorly researched opinions. Why hide under our beds? Why do activists (world-wide) maintain old fixations about governments and hold firmly a determination not to be confused by the reality of today?

For instance: what is called the Australian Constitution is no more than an agreement between the States to form a Federal Government. Each State already had a Constitution tying it to British law and this did not need repeating in a National Constitution subject, by design, to States limitation of its powers.

That this, by sedition, has been overruled does not make the change legal. Neither Commonwealth nor States were set-up to be governed by “Party Systems”, original English ‘democracy’ was by elected independents. This served England for some 300 years and also, at the beginning in the U.S of A.

>>>